

Performances of Plate Heat Exchanger Applied in a Vacuum Evaporating Distiller

Zhenhua Jin¹, Gitae Park¹, Soonho Choi², Hanshik Chung³ and Hyomin Jeong³

¹Department of Mechanical and Precision Engineering, Gyeongsang National University, Tongyeong, Korea, jinzhenhua2001@yahoo.com.cn

²DAEWON heat plate Co., Ltd., Busan, Korea, choi_s_h@naver.com

³Department of Mechanical and Precision Engineering, Gyeongsang National University, Institute of Marine Industry, Tongyeong, Korea, hschung@gnu.ac.kr

ABSTRACT: Plate Heat Exchanger (PHE) have become popular in chemical, power, food and refrigeration industries due to the efficient heat transfer performance, extremely compact design and flexibility of extend or modify to suit changed duty. In present work, PHE aimed apply in the fresh water generator which installed in ship to desalinate seawater to fresh water use heat from engines. The experiment is proceeded to investigate the heat transfer between cold and hot fluid stream at different flow rate and supply temperature of hot fluid. Another target is the generated fresh water as outcome of the system. PHE is an important part of a condensing or evaporating system. Among many of factors which should be concentrated on, the heat transfer and pressure drop is most important parts during sizing and rating the performance of PHE. One of common assumptions in basic heat exchanger design theory is that fluid is to be distributed uniformly at the inlet of each fluid side and throughout the core. However, in practice, flow mal-distribution is more common and can significantly reduce the heat exchanger performance. In this system the heat transfer part contains corrugated plates and it arranged with U type flow configuration. The main objective of this paper is discussing main conception of plate heat exchanger and applied in vacuum evaporator for product fresh water.

Keywords: plate heat exchanger, heat transfer, chevron type plate, vacuum

NOMENCLATURE

b mean mass channel gap [m]
 D_e equivalent diameter [m]
 f friction factor
 G Mass velocity [$\text{kg}/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}$]
 L Length of plate [m]
 m Mass flow rate [kg/s]
Re Reynolds number
 t plate thickness [m]

Greek Symbols

β chevron angle [degree]
 ρ Density [kg/m^3]
 μ Viscosity [$\text{kg}/\text{m} \cdot \text{s}$]

Greek Symbols

c cold fluid
h hot fluid
p port

1. INTRODUCTION

Many countries in the world suffer from a shortage of nature fresh water. Increasing amounts of fresh water will be required in the future as a result of the rise in population rates and enhanced living standards, together with the expansion of industrial and agricultural activities. Most commercially applied technologies of desalination are based on the multistage flash distillation, multiple effect distillation and reverse osmosis processes. In distillation system it is no doubt heat exchanger as a key point that is extremely important. The importance of heat exchanger has increased immensely from the view point of energy conservation, conversion, recovery and successful implementation of new energy sources^[1]. Heat exchangers are used in wide variety of applications. These include such as power production, process of chemical and food industries, electronics, waste heat recovery system, air conditioning and refrigeration as well as being key components of many industrial products. Plate heat exchanger (PHE) was originally developed for use in hygienic applications such as pasteurization of liquid food products. However, the range of applications of this type of exchanger largely expanded in the last decades due to the continual design and construction improvements. Nowadays PHE widely use in different industries such as chemical, food and pharmaceutical process and refrigeration. Since PHE use gaskets for sealing, air conditioning and refrigeration system did not really adopt this technology due to concerns over refrigerant leakage.

The traditional concept, PHE consists of plates, gaskets, frames and some additional devices, such as carrying and guiding bars, support column, via ports. The heat transfer occurred between adjacent channels through plates as show in Fig.1. Alternate plates are assembled such that the corrugations on successive plates contact or cross each other to provide mechanical support to the plate pack through a large number of contact

points. This resulting flow passages are narrow, highly interrupted and tortuous so that enhance the heat transfer flow and increasing the level of turbulence.

True flexibility is unique to the plate heat exchanger both in initial design and after installation. In the initial design the basic size, geometry, total number and arrangement of standard plates can normally be selected to precisely fit the required duty. An existing plate heat exchanger can very easily be extended or modified to suit an increased or changed duty. Moreover, it is very compact and low in weight in spite of their compactness. In practice, there are existing scale or deposit on the heat exchanger surface inevitably. As an importance factor fouling have influence on performance and maintenance of heat exchanger. Fouling adds thermal resistance to heat transfer in a heat exchanger as well as increasing pressure drop. In order to reach again the normal capacity and to remove deposits, the unit must be cleaned periodically. Consider this problem the flexibility of PHE is very useful^[2-7].

Plate patterns have great influence on both of thermal and hydraulic performance, the final design is certainly depends on the initial choice of plate pattern. Although many types have been used in the past, the chevron type plate has proved to be the most successful model during last decades. A comprehensive step of design method was presented by Shah and Focke^[8].




Figure 1: Flow arrangement in the plate heat exchanger with U type configuration.

The commonly used chevron angle varies between 30° to 60° . This been verified by many researchers according to experimental results and simulations already. An analytical study has been made by Martin^[9] to predict the performance of chevron type plate heat exchanger.

The main objective of this paper is discussing main conception of plate heat exchanger applied in vacuum evaporator for product fresh water. Especially focus on the evaporating and condensing performance for product fresh water.

2. EXPERIMENT SETUP

A fresh water generator is increasingly used in desalination plants, typically located in coastal areas, which provide fresh drinking water to the local community. These have become very common in the Middle East, and are also in use in some other place. More desalination plants are likely to be built as a response to drought and concern over availability of water in growing cities. However, the costs involved in desalination plants are high and are considered by communities only when faced with water shortages from traditional sources.

The concept of a fresh water generator is simple the sea water is evaporated using a heat source, separating pure water from salt, sediment and other elements. Fresh water generators often use the diesel engine jacket as a heat source, although steam can also be used as a heat source. Because freshwater generators often use existing heat to run, the cost of operation is low.

There are two main elements in a fresh water generator, one heat exchanger evaporates the sea water and another one condenses the fresh water vapor into liquid phase for use. In the condenser element, the vapor is condensed through cooling, often simply using cold seawater.

Fig.2 shows plate configuration use in this experiment. The heat transfer part

contains corrugated plates with 60 degree of chevron angle which verified by many researchers and commonly apply. Moreover the plate package arranged with U type configuration.




Figure 2: Plate used in the experiment.

The air inside the evaporation chamber is evacuated to a near vacuum, so that the saturation point of water becomes lower much more. It then becomes possible to evaporate the seawater at a temperature around 60°C . The diesel engine jacket cooling water is sufficiently hot to evaporate the seawater and it is commonly used

In present system can reach the vacuum between two dotted line shows in Fig.3. It means that for the saturation temperature located in 51°C - 57°C .




Figure 3: Saturation temperature and pressure.

Schematic diagram of fresh water generator experimental facility is shown in

Fig.9. The system can be separate to two main circuits. One is cold water circuit that cold fluid is supplied to the heat exchanger where it receives heat from the hot fluid across the plates. Finally the cold water sent to cooling tower where temperature cool down and maintain at the inlet condition of heat exchanger. This experiment used city water and not installed on ship yet. However, in real state this system will be installed on ship so the cold water instead by seawater then cooling tower is unnecessary. Since it assumed that the seawater temperature is constant. In other hand, the hot fluid is flowing to the plate heat exchanger and fed back to hot water tank where is keep at a constant temperature using boiler instead of engine cooling water.

For the procedure of experiment firstly operate cold fluid circuit to obtain near vacuum condition in the tank which contains the heat exchanger. Further step is operating hot water circuit to supply hot water to heat transfer with the cold fluid between alternate plate channels of heat exchanger. It should be notice that there is bypass line connected from outlet of cooling water to evaporator. The already heated cooling water provided to absorb heat from hot water so that can be evaporating much more quickly and use all the energy sufficiently.

The temperature and pressure at inlet and outlet of fluids are recorded respectively till the steady state is reached. Same procedure has been repeated with different flow rate and supply temperature of hot fluid.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The concept of a fresh water generator is simple. According to above mentioned concept and procedure, the experiments conducted at the range of 60 °C, 65 °C, 70 °C for the setting temperature of hot fluid respectively. Meanwhile the flow rate of fluid is set at 3.0m³/h and 3.5m³/h.

First look at pressure drop that it is calculated as approximately 1.5 times the

inlet velocity head per pass. Since the entrance an exit losses in the core cannot be determined by experimentally, they are included in the friction for the given plate geometry. Although the momentum effect is negligibly small for liquids, it is also included in the following expression. The pressure drop or rise caused by elevation of change for liquids. Summing all contributions, the pressure drop on one fluid side in a plate heat exchanger is given by

$$\Delta P = \frac{1.5G_p^2 n_p}{2g_c \rho_i} + \frac{4fLG^2}{2g_c D_e} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right)_m + \left(\frac{1}{\rho_o} - \frac{1}{\rho_i} \right) \frac{G^2}{g_c} \pm \frac{\rho_m g L}{g_c}$$

$$f = 0.8 \text{Re}^{-0.25}$$

$$\text{Re} = \frac{GD_e}{\mu}$$

$$G_p = \dot{m} / (\pi / 4) D_p^2$$

where G_p is the fluid mass velocity at the port and n_p is the number of passes in the given fluid side, D_e is the equivalent diameter of flow passages namely twice of pressing depth, ρ_o and ρ_i are fluid mass densities evaluated at local bulk temperature and mean pressure at outlet and inlet, respectively. The Reynolds number is based on hydraulic diameter of the corrugated channel which is equivalent to twice of pressing depth of the plate.




Figure 4: Comparison of pressure drop between experiment and calculation of cold water side.

Fig. 4 shows the comparison of pressure drop between experiment and theoretical results based on the above equations. It is observed that there is difference for experimental results and calculation method which according to equation suggested by R. K. Shah. The calculation results ignored the third term of right hand side of equation since it is difficult to measure exact the density at each point. Additionally, in experiment friction loss through pipeline is inevitable. Therefore this may result into the gap compare to experimental results.




Figure 5: Vacuum pressure distribution inside of the vessel.

As mentioned above the vessel contains two unit of exchanger is maintained with vacuum condition while the system is operating. The pressure variation in the inside of vessel is show as Fig.5. This is one of experimental results with operate system exactly operate ejector entrain the inside air so that pressure decrease rapidly within 10 minutes. At this condition flowing hot stream and cold stream to start to exchange heat. We can see inside pressure increase gradually since there are vapour occurred. However through some period it maintain at steady state along 12kPa vacuum pressure relatively. It showed sharp increasing at end of part this because stop ejector and it recovery to atmosphere condition. In this way one case of experiment is complete.

Fig. 6 and Fig.7 show the temperature distribution with flow rate of hot water at 3.0m³/h and 3.5m³/h. It shows temperature

is increasing gradually with increase of hot water supply temperature. It is observed from Fig.7 the temperature of fresh water is higher in case of 60 °C than other because the generation rate is smaller than other case.




Figure 6: Mean temperature distributions with hot water flow rate of 3.0m³/h.




Figure 7: Mean temperature distributions with hot water flow rate of 3.5m³/h.




Figure 8: Fresh water generation rate with temperature and different flow rate.

Since the supply temperature is lower relatively. Hence, resulted into evaporating is not happened sporadically at this condition. Fig. 8 shows the fresh water generation at each supply temperature of hot water and flow rate. The line depicts the effect of flow rate and supply temperature of hot water on the fresh produce.

It is showed that compare two lines it clear that fresh water generation rate is increasing proportionately by increase of hot water supply temperature. For the different flow rate affect to the fresh water quantity is less at 65 °C and 70°C. However, the difference of fresh water quantity is become large at 60 °C of hot water temperature case. The reason for the difference might be due to when the hot water temperature is lower, the cold water outlet temperature will lower too so that for evaporating the temperature gap is large than other case at high temperature, similarly there need much more amount of heat and time to accumulate then it can be evaporated.

4. CONCLUSION

In the present work, we discussed about fresh water generator system which used plate heat exchanger to evaporate and condense with vacuum conditions.

Experiments have been carried out on the fresh water generating according to the supply temperature and flow rate of heating medium and show the influence on the performance of product fresh water as outcome of the system.

From the experiment show with higher hot water supply temperature can produce more quantity of fresh water. We can see it can achieve more fresh water at lower flow rate. Because of at lower flow rate could heat transfer sufficiently between hot medium and cold medium through each plate. The flow rate of hot water supply temperature affect less to the produce of fresh water in the range of high temperature. Theoretically, it can be evaporate if the

vapor temperature located between 51 °C and 57 °C. In fact there is changing of pressure and temperature happened in a moment. Hence resulted in at low hot water temperature, evaporating is not happened continuously.

Extend detailed research with wide range of experimental condition will carried out within the near future in order to test and further improve the performance of system. That can contribute to the propagate application of plate heat exchanger and it can be practice effective utilization of energy that conserve limited energy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported under the project (No.70002054) of ITEP in the Ministry of Knowledge Economy Ministry and the second-phase of Brain Korea 21 Project. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support from DAEWON heat plate Co., Ltd

REFERENCES

- [1] Khawaji, A. D, Kutubkhanah, I. K and Wie, J. M. 2008. Advances in seawater desalination technologies. Desalination. Vol 221. pp.47-69.
- [2] Jorge, A. W. G, Renato, F, Jose, M. P and Carmen, C. T. 2004. Thermal model validation of plate heat exchangers with generalized configurations. Chemical Engineering Science. Vol 59. pp.4591-4600.
- [3] Zahid, H. A. 2003. Plate heat exchanger literature survey and new heat transfer and pressure drop correlations for refrigerant evaporators. Heat Transfer Engineering. Vol 24. No 5. pp.3-16
- [4] Bobbili, P. R, Sundén, B and Das, S. K. 2006, An experimental investigation of the port flow maldistribution in small and large plate package heat exchangers” ,

Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol 26. pp.1919-1926.

- [5] Bobbili, P. R, Sundén, B and Das, S. K. 2006. Thermal analysis of plate condensers in presence of flow maldistribution. Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. Vol 49. pp.4966-4977.
- [6] Srihari, N and Das, S. K. 2006. Transient response of multi-pass plate heat exchangers considering the effect of flow maldistribution. Chemical Engineering and Processing. Vol 47. pp.695-707.
- [7] Rao, B. P. Kumar, P. K. and Das, S. K. 2002. Effect of flow distribution to the

channels on the thermal performance of a plate heat exchanger. Chemical Engineering and Processing. Vol 41. pp.49-58.

- [8] Shah, R. K and Focke, W. W. 2003. Plate heat exchanger and their design theory in heat transfer equipment design. Hemisphere. Washington. USA.
- [9] Martin, H. 1996. A theoretical approach to predict the performance of chevron-type plate heat exchangers. Chemical Engineering and Processing. Vol 35. pp.301-310.




Figure 9: Diagram of the experimental facility of fresh water generator system.